$\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition form factors in light cone QCD sum rules

arXiv:1409.5250v1 [hep-ph] 18 Sep 2014

T. M. Aliev *†, M. Savcı ‡

Physics Department, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey

Abstract

The $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ reaction is studied in framework of the light cone QCD sum rules method. The sum rules for the multipole form factors, namely, for the magnetic dipole $G_M(Q^2)$, electric quadrupole $G_E(Q^2)$, and Coulomb quadrupole $G_C(Q^2)$, form factors responsible for for the $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition are derived. Using these sum rules, the helicity amplitudes are presented as the combinations of these multipole form factors, and their Q^2 dependences are studied. We compare our results on the helicity amplitudes with those of the spectator model predictions, and with the existing experimental data.

PACS numbers: 11.55.Hx, 13.30.Ce, 13.40.Gp, 14.20.Jn

*taliev@metu.edu.tr

†Permanent address: Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan.

‡savci@metu.edu.tr

1 Introduction

The study of the electromagnetic structure of the baryons proves to be an important source for understanding their inner structure, as well as for obtaining information on strong interaction in the confinement region. The electromagnetic structure of baryons is described by the form factors whose experimental and theoretical investigations have been continuing for a long time up today. Operation of new electron beam facilities at Jefferson Laboratory (JLAB), Mainz Microton (MAMI) at Mainz, and MIT/Bates open new horizons in study of the form factors of nucleons and their excitations. The nucleon excitations are studied in reactions such as scattering of electron beams or target photons from nucleons, i.e., $e(\gamma^*)N \to e(\gamma^*)N^*$, where N^* is the nucleon excitation and γ^* is the virtual photon. Obviously, more information can be obtained about the structure of baryons at small distances with the increasing photon virtuality.

It is hoped that the new electron beam facilities would definitely allow collecting large amount of more precise and complete data in the studies of the electro-excitations of nucleon resonances. Along these lines essential information has already been obtained, in particular, for the spin-3/2 and negative parity $N^*(1520)$ state in the range up to $Q^2 = 4.5\ GeV^2$. Progress in the experiments has simulated new significant developments in understanding the dynamics of QCD at large distance from theoretical side (for more detail see [1]).

In the present work we study the $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition form factors in framework of the light cone QCD sum rules (LCSR) [2]. This transition was studied within the framework of the nonrelativistic and relativistic quark model (see [3, 4] and references therein), single quark transition model [5], and the covariant spectator quark model [6], respectively.

The experimental results for the transition form factors were obtained from CLAS data [7, 8]. Recently new data has been obtained in a wider Q^2 domain, which surely has higher accuracy compared to the previous experimental results.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 the sum rules for the form factors responsible for the $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition are obtained within the LCSR method. In this section we also present the expressions for the helicity amplitudes which describe this transition. In section 3 numerical results for the transition form factors, as well as for the helicity amplitudes are presented. This section contains also discussions and conclusions.

2 Form factors of $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition in LCSR

In order to derive the light cone QCD sum rules for the $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ we introduce the following correlation function:

$$\Pi_{\alpha\mu}(p,q) = i \int d^4x e^{iqx} \left\langle 0 \left| T\left\{ \eta_\alpha(0) j_\mu^{el}(x) \right\} \right| N(p) \right\rangle \ , \tag{1}$$

where η_α is the interpolating current of the spin-3/2 positive parity baryons, and j_μ^{el} is the electromagnetic current defines as $j_\mu^{el} = e_u \bar{u}\gamma_\mu u + e_d \bar{d}\gamma_\mu d$. The interpolating current of the spin-3/2 baryons is given by the following expression:

$$\eta_\alpha = \varepsilon^{abc} \Big\{ \left(q_1^{aT} C\sigma_{\rho\lambda} q_2^b\right) \sigma^{\rho\lambda}\gamma_\mu q_3^c - \left(q_1^{aT} C\sigma_{\rho\lambda} q_3^b\right) \sigma^{\rho\lambda}\gamma_\mu q_2^c \Big\} \ , \tag{2}$$

where $q_1 = q_3 = u$, $q_2 = d$ for the excited proton, and $q_1 = q_3 = d$, $q_2 = u$ for the excited neutron states, respectively; and a, b, and c are the color indices and C is the charge conjugation operator.

We start our discussion by considering the hadronic transition involving $N^*(1520)$. Within the framework of LCSR method this is implemented by calculating the correlation function from the hadronic and quark-gluon sides, respectively, and then equating these representations. In calculating the correlation function from the hadronic side, the total set of hadrons which carry the same quantum numbers of the interpolating current should be inserted in it. The contributions of the lowest spin-3/2 negative and positive parity states can be obtained by saturating the hadronic part of the correlation function with them, from which we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\alpha\mu} &= \frac{1}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-} - p'^2}\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{3}{2}^-(p')\rangle\langle\tfrac{3}{2}^-(p')\left|j_\mu^{el}\right|N(p)\rangle \ , \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+} - p'^2}\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{3}{2}^+(p')\rangle\langle\tfrac{3}{2}^+(p')\left|j_\mu^{el}\right|N(p)\rangle \ , \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^-} - p'^2}\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^-(p')\rangle\langle\tfrac{1}{2}^-(p')\left|j_\mu^{el}\right|N(p)\rangle \ , \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^+} - p'^2}\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p')\rangle\langle\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p')\left|j_\mu^{el}\right|N(p)\rangle \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It should be noted here that the current η_α interacts not only with spin-3/2 states, but also spin-1/2 states, and therefore we consider their contributions on Eq. (3).

We start by considering the last two matrix elements which correspond to the contributions of the spin–1/2 states. In the general case, the matrix element $\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\frac{1}{2}^+(p')\rangle$ is determined as follows:

$$\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p')\rangle = (Ap'_\alpha + B\gamma_\alpha)u(p') \ . \tag{4}$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (4) and using the fact that $\gamma_\alpha\eta^\alpha = 0$, we immediately obtain that:

$$\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p')\rangle = B\Big(\gamma_\alpha - \frac{4}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^+}}p'_\alpha\Big)u(p') \ . \tag{5}$$

Similarly, from the parity consideration the matrix element $\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\frac{1}{2}^-(p')\rangle$ is determined as:

$$\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^-(p')\rangle = B\gamma_5\left(\gamma_\alpha - \frac{4}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^-}}p'_\alpha\right)u(p') \ . \tag{6}$$

It follows from Eqs. (5) and (6) that the contributions of the spin-1/2 states are proportional to either p'_α or γ_α, which we will use later.

The remaining matrix elements are determined as follows:

$$\langle\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p')\left|j_\mu^{el}\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p)\rangle = \bar{u}(p')\left[\gamma_\mu F_1 + \frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu}{2m_{\frac{1}{2}^+}}F_2\right]u(p) \ , \tag{7}$$

$$\langle\tfrac{1}{2}^-(p')\left|j_\mu^{el}\right|\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p)\rangle = \bar{u}(p')\left[\left(\gamma_\mu - \frac{\not{q}q_\mu}{q^2}\right)F_1^* + \frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^+} + m_{\frac{1}{2}^-}}F_2^*\right]\gamma_5 u(p) \ , \tag{8}$$

where $|\frac{1}{2}^+(p)\rangle$ state corresponds to the one nucleon state.

The matrix elements which describe the contributions of the spin-3/2 states are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0\,|j_\alpha|\,\tfrac{3}{2}^+(p')\rangle &= \lambda_+ u_\alpha(p')\ , \\
\langle 0\,|j_\alpha|\,\tfrac{3}{2}^-(p')\rangle &= \lambda_- \gamma_5 u_\alpha(p')\ , \qquad (9)\\
\langle \tfrac{3}{2}^+(p')\,\big|j_\mu^{el}\big|\,\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p)\rangle &= \bar{u}_\beta(p')\Big\{G_1^+(q_\beta\gamma_\mu - \not{q}g_{\beta\mu}) + G_2^+[q_\beta\mathcal{P}_\mu - (q\mathcal{P})g_{\beta\mu}] \\
&\quad + G_3^+(q_\beta q_\mu - q^2 g_{\beta\mu})\Big\}\gamma_5 u(p)\ , \qquad (10)\\
\langle \tfrac{3}{2}^-(p')\,\big|j_\mu^{el}\big|\,\tfrac{1}{2}^+(p)\rangle &= \bar{u}_\beta(p')\Big\{G_1^-(q_\beta\gamma_\mu - \not{q}g_{\beta\mu}) + G_2^-[q_\beta\mathcal{P}_\mu - (q\mathcal{P})g_{\beta\mu}] \\
&\quad + G_3^-(q_\beta q_\mu - q^2 g_{\beta\mu})\Big\}u(p)\ , \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2}(p+p')$; $q = p'-p$; and $G_i^\pm$ are the form form factors. Using the definitions of the residues and form factors given in Eqs. (9)-(11), and summing over spins of spin-3/2 states given as:

$$\sum u_\alpha(p')\bar{u}_\beta(p') = (\not{p}' + m_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm})\left[g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta - \frac{2}{3}\frac{p'_\alpha p'_\beta}{m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{p'_\alpha\gamma_\beta - p'_\beta\gamma_\alpha}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm}}\right]\ ,$$

for the phenomenological part we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\alpha\mu} &= -\frac{\lambda_-}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-} - p'^2}\gamma_5(\not{p}' + m_{\frac{3}{2}^-})\left[g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta - \frac{2}{3}\frac{p'_\alpha p'_\beta}{m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{p'_\alpha\gamma_\beta - p'_\beta\gamma_\alpha}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm}}\right] \\
&\times \Big\{G_1^-(q_\beta\gamma_\mu - \not{q}g_{\beta\mu}) + G_2^-[q_\beta\mathcal{P}_\mu - (q\mathcal{P})g_{\beta\mu}] + G_3^-(q_\beta q_\mu - q^2 g_{\beta\mu})\Big\}u(p) \\
&- \frac{\lambda_+}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+} - p'^2}(\not{p}' + m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})\left[g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta - \frac{2}{3}\frac{p'_\alpha p'_\beta}{m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{p'_\alpha\gamma_\beta - p'_\beta\gamma_\alpha}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^\pm}}\right] \\
&\times \Big\{G_1^+(q_\beta\gamma_\mu - \not{q}g_{\beta\mu}) + G_2^+[q_\beta\mathcal{P}_\mu - (q\mathcal{P})g_{\beta\mu}] + G_3^+(q_\beta q_\mu - q^2 g_{\beta\mu})\Big\}\gamma_5 u(p) \\
&- \frac{B_+}{m^2_{\frac{1}{2}^+} - p'^2}\left(\gamma_\alpha - \frac{4}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^+}}p'_\alpha\right)(\not{p}' + m_{\frac{1}{2}^+})\left[F_1\gamma_\mu - \frac{F_2}{4m_{\frac{1}{2}^+}}(\gamma_\mu\not{q} - \not{q}\gamma_\mu)\right]u(p) \\
&- \frac{B_-}{m^2_{\frac{1}{2}^-} - p'^2}\gamma_5\left(\gamma_\alpha - \frac{4}{m_{\frac{1}{2}^-}}p'_\alpha\right)(\not{p}' + m_{\frac{1}{2}^-})\left[F_1^*\left(\gamma_\mu - \frac{\not{q}\gamma_\mu}{q^2}\right)\right. \\
&\left. - \frac{F_2^*}{2(m_{\frac{1}{2}^+} + m_{\frac{1}{2}^-})}(\gamma_\mu\not{q} - \not{q}\gamma_\mu)\right]\gamma_5 u(p) \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

One can easily see from Eq. (12) that, in the first two terms which describe the contributions of the spin-3/2 states, all structures, except $g_{\alpha\beta}$ contain also contributions coming from spin-1/2 states which should all be removed. We see from Eq. (12) that the terms with γ_α at left or proportional to p'_α, as well as γ_μ at right or terms proportional to p'_μ, describe the contributions of the spin-1/2 states. Consider the terms multiplied by the structure $\not{p}'\gamma_\alpha$ which can be written as $\not{p}'\gamma_\alpha = 2p'_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha\not{p}'$. Both terms on the right in this identity contain

the contributions coming from spin-1/2 states. As a result one can conclude that, in Eq. (12) only the terms that are proportional to the $g_{\alpha\beta}$ structure describe the contributions of spin-3/2 states. So, the hadronic part of the correlation function that involves contributions from both negative and positive parity spin-3/2 states only can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\alpha\mu} &= \frac{\lambda_-}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}(\not{p}'+m_{\frac{3}{2}^-})\Big[G_1^-(-q_\alpha\gamma_\mu+\not{q}g_{\alpha\mu})+G_2^-[q_\alpha\mathcal{P}_\mu-(q\mathcal{P})g_{\alpha\mu}]\\ &+ G_3^-(q_\alpha q_\mu-q^2g_{\alpha\mu})\Big]\gamma_5 u(p)\\ &- \frac{\lambda_+}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}(\not{p}'+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})\Big[G_1^+(q_\alpha\gamma_\mu-\not{q}g_{\alpha\mu})+G_2^+[q_\alpha\mathcal{P}_\mu-(q\mathcal{P})g_{\alpha\mu}]\\ &+ G_3^+(q_\alpha q_\mu-q^2g_{\alpha\mu})\Big]\gamma_5 u(p)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We see from this equation that the correlation function contains numerous Lorentz structures all of which are not independent of each other. In order to allocate independent structures ordering of the Dirac matrices is implemented, from which we choose the ordering $\gamma_\alpha\not{p}\not{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$. The correlation function (1) can be decomposed in terms of the independent invariant amplitudes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\alpha\mu} &= \Pi_1[(p+q)^2,q^2]\not{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 q_\alpha+\Pi_2[(p+q)^2,q^2]\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 q_\alpha+\Pi_3[(p+q)^2,q^2]\not{q}\gamma_5 p_\mu q_\alpha\\ &+ \Pi_4[(p+q)^2,q^2]\gamma_5 p_\mu q_\alpha+\Pi_5[(p+q)^2,q^2]\not{q}\gamma_5 q_\alpha q_\mu+\Pi_6[(p+q)^2,q^2]\gamma_5 q_\alpha q_\mu\\ &+ \text{other invariant functions.}\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Comparing Eqs. (13) and (14), we get the following set of equations from which we can determine the form factors $G_i^\pm$ $(i=1,2,3)$.

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\lambda_-}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}G_1^- - \frac{\lambda_+}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}G_1^+ &= \Pi_1\\ \frac{\lambda_- m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}G_1^- - \frac{\lambda_+ m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}G_1^+ &= \Pi_2\\ \frac{\lambda_-}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}G_2^- - \frac{\lambda_+}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}G_2^+ &= \Pi_3\\ -\frac{\lambda_- m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}G_2^- - \frac{\lambda_+ m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}G_2^+ &= \Pi_4\\ \frac{\lambda_-}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}\left(\frac{G_2^-}{2}-G_3^-\right) - \frac{\lambda_+}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}\left(\frac{G_2^-}{2}-G_3^+\right) &= \Pi_5\\ -\frac{\lambda_- m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}\left(\frac{G_2^-}{2}-G_3^-\right) - \frac{\lambda_+ m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p'^2}\left(\frac{G_2^+}{2}-G_3^+\right) &= \Pi_6\ .\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From these equations we finally obtain the following expressions for the transition form factors G_i^- $(i=1,2,3)$:

$$\frac{\lambda_-(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}G_1^- = -m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Pi_1+\Pi_2$$

$$\frac{\lambda_-(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}G_2^- = m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Pi_3-\Pi_4$$
$$\frac{\lambda_-(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p'^2}\left(\frac{G_2^-}{2}-G_3^-\right) = m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Pi_5-\Pi_6\ . \tag{16}$$

Using the quark hadron duality ansatz, we next calculate the invariant functions Π_i from the QCD side. In order to justify the operator product expansion (OPE) near the light cone $x^2\simeq 0$, the external momenta $(p+q)^2$ and q^2 are taken to be space-like. The result of OPE is obtained in terms of the nucleon distribution amplitudes (DAs) of growing twist. The nucleon DAs are the key ingredient of the LCSR and they are calculated in [9] up to twist-6. Having the explicit forms of the nucleon DAs, the the invariant amplitudes Π_i $(i=1,\cdots,6)$ can be written in the following form:

$$\Pi_i[(p+q)^2,q^2] = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\int_0^1 \frac{\rho_{i,n}[x,q^2,(p+q)^2]}{[(q+px)^2]^n}\ , \tag{17}$$

where $i=1,\cdots,6$; $n=1,2,3$. The expressions of $\rho_{i,n}$ for the form factors $G_1(Q^2)$, $G_2(Q^2)$ and $\frac{G_2(Q^2)}{2}-G_3(Q^2)$ are presented in Appendix A.

Substituting the results for Π_i given in Eq. (17) into Eq. (16), and performing Borel transformation with respect to $(p+q)^2$ in order to suppress contributions of the higher states and continuum, we obtain the following sum rules for the form factors $G_1^-(Q^2)$, $G_2^-(Q^2)$ and $\frac{G_2^-(Q^2)}{2}-G_3^-(Q^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_-(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})G_1^-(Q^2)e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}/M^2} &= -m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}I_1(Q^2,M^2,s_0)+I_2(Q^2,M^2,s_0)\ ,\\
\lambda_-(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})G_2^-(Q^2)e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}/M^2} &= m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}I_3(Q^2,M^2,s_0)-I_4(Q^2,M^2,s_0)\ ,\\
\lambda_-(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})\left[\frac{G_2^-(Q^2)}{2}-G_3^-(Q^2)\right]e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}/M^2} &= m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}I_5(Q^2,M^2,s_0)\\
&\quad -I_6(Q^2,M^2,s_0)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_i(Q^2,M^2,s_0) = \int_{x_0}^1 dx\Bigg[&-\frac{\rho_{i,1}(x)}{x}+\frac{\rho_{i,2}(x)}{x^2M^2}--\frac{\rho_{i,3}(x)}{2x^3M^4}\Bigg]e^{-s(x)/M^2}\\
&+\Bigg\{\Bigg[\frac{\rho_{i,2}(x_0)}{Q^2+x_0^2m_B^2}-\frac{1}{2x_0}\frac{\rho_{i,3}(x_0)}{(Q^2+x_0^2m_B^2)M^2}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\frac{x_0^2}{(Q^2+x_0^2m_B^2)}\left(\frac{d}{dx_0}\frac{\rho_{i,3}(x_0)}{x_0(Q^2+x_0^2m_B^2)M^2}\right)\Bigg]e^{-s_0/M^2}\Bigg\}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where M^2 is the Borel mass square, s_0 is the continuum threshold and

$$s(x) = \frac{\bar{x}Q^2+x\bar{x}m_B^2}{x}\ ,$$

$\bar{x}=1-x$, and x_0 is the solution of the equation $s(x)=s_0$.

It follows from Eq. (19) that the residue of the negative parity spin-3/2 $N^*(1520)$ baryon is needed. The decay constant λ_- of the negative parity $N^*(1520)$ baryon can be obtained from the following two-point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\alpha\beta}(p^2) &= i\int d^4x e^{ipx}\left\langle 0\left|\eta_\alpha(x)\bar{\eta}_\beta(0)\right|0\right\rangle \\ &= \Pi_1(p^2)g_{\alpha\beta}+\Pi_2(p^2)p_\alpha p_\beta+\Pi_3(p^2)\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta+\Pi_4(p^2)\gamma_\alpha p_\beta+\Pi_5(p^2)\gamma_\beta p_\alpha\ . \quad (20)\end{aligned}$$

As we have already noted, the structures $p_\alpha p_\beta$, $\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta$, $\gamma_\alpha p_\beta$ and $\gamma_\beta p_\alpha$ contain contributions from spin-1/2 states. Therefore, in order to determine the decay constant of the negative parity spin-3/2 baryon, the above-mentioned structures should be omitted. The only structure which contains contributions from spin-3/2 states with both parity is $g_{\mu\nu}$. Retaining only this structure, and saturating (20) with spin-3/2 states, from the physical part of the correlation function we get,

$$\frac{\left|\lambda_+\right|^2(\not{p}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}-p^2}+\frac{\left|\lambda_-\right|^2(\not{p}-m_{\frac{3}{2}^-})}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-p^2}+\cdots \quad (21)$$

Denoting the spectral densities $\rho_1(s)$ and $\rho_2(s)$ corresponding to the structures $\not{p}g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively, and performing Borel transformation over $-p^2$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\lambda_+\right|^2 e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}/M^2}+\left|\lambda_-\right|^2 e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}/M^2} &= \int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-s/M^2}\rho_1(s)\ , \\ m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\left|\lambda_+\right|^2 e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}/M^2}-\left|\lambda_-\right|^2 m_{\frac{3}{2}^-} e^{-m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}/M^2} &= \int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-s/M^2}\rho_2(s)\ . \quad (22)\end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations for the mass and decay constant of the negative parity spin-3/2 $N^*(1520)$ baryon, we get

$$m_{\frac{3}{2}^-} = \frac{\int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-s/M^2} s\Big[m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rho_1(s)-\rho_2(s)\Big]}{\int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-s/M^2}\Big[m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rho_1(s)-\rho_2(s)\Big]} \quad (23)$$

$$\left|\lambda_-\right|^2 = \frac{e^{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}/M^2}}{(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_{\frac{3}{2}^+})}\int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-s/M^2}\Big[m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rho_1(s)-\rho_2(s)\Big]\ . \quad (24)$$

In order to calculate the the spectral densities ρ_1 and ρ_2, we need to obtain the expressions Π_1 and Π_2 corresponding to the structures $\not{p}g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively. The explicit forms of Π_1 and Π_2 after the Borel transformation is performed, are given in Appendix B (see also [10]). The spectral densities $\rho_1(s)$ and $\rho_2(s)$ can be obtained after applying the Fourier transformation and performing continuum subtraction to these expressions.

In general, the electromagnetic properties of hadrons can also be described in terms of the helicity amplitudes or multipole electromagnetic form factors instead of the form factors G_1, G_2 and G_3. The multipole electromagnetic form factors in our case are the magnetic dipole G_M, electric quadrupole G_E and Coulomb quadrupole G_C. These form factors are

defined as (see for example [6]):

$$G_M = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\frac{m_N}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N}\left[(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N)^2+Q^2\right]\frac{G_1}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}\ , \tag{25}$$

$$G_E = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\frac{m_N}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N}\left[(m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N^2-Q^2)\frac{G_1}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}+(m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N^2)G_2-2Q^2G_3\right] \tag{26}$$

$$G_C = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\frac{m_N}{m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N}\Big[4m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}G_1+(3m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_N^2+Q^2)G_2 + 2(m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N^2-Q^2)G_3\Big] \tag{27}$$

The relations among helicity amplitudes and multipole form factors are given as follows:

$$A_{1/2} = \frac{1}{4C}(3G_M-G_E) \tag{28}$$

$$A_{3/2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4C}(G_M+G_E) \tag{29}$$

$$S_{1/2} = -\frac{|\vec{q}|e}{4\sqrt{2}m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}\sqrt{\left[\frac{(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_N)^2+Q^2}{6m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}m_N}\right]\left[\frac{2m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}{m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N^2}\right]}g_C\ , \tag{30}$$

where

$$C = \frac{m_N}{e|\vec{q}|}\sqrt{\left[\frac{m_N(m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N^2)}{2m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}\right]\left[\frac{(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N)^2+Q^2}{(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N)^2}\right]}\ ,$$

$$g_C = \ 4m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}G_1+(3m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_N^2+Q^2)G_2+2(m^2_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N^2-Q^2)G_3\ ,\ \text{and,}$$

$$|\vec{q}| = \frac{1}{2m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}}\sqrt{\Big[(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}+m_N)^2+Q^2\Big]\Big[(m_{\frac{3}{2}^-}-m_N)^2+Q^2\Big]} \tag{31}$$

is the magnitude of the nucleon three-momentum in the rest frame of the $N^*(1520)$ baryon.

3 Numerical analysis

Here in this section we present the numerical results of the LCSR prediction on the multipole form factors, as well as helicity amplitudes for the $\gamma^*N \to N^*(1520)$ transition. The main input parameters of this method are the distribution amplitudes (DAs), and we use the results of [9] in the following numerical calculations. The values of the factors appearing in the nucleon DAs are calculated within the LCSR, and they are given as:

$$f_N = (5.0\pm0.5)\times10^{-3}\ GeV^2\ ,[9]$$
$$\lambda_1 = -(2.7\pm0.9)\times10^{-2}\ GeV^2\ ,[11]$$
$$\lambda_2 = -(5.4\pm1.9)\times10^{-2}\ GeV^2\ ,[11]$$

and $A_1^u = 0.13$, $V_1^d = 0.3$, $f_1^d = 0.33$, and $f_2^d = 0.25$ [11]. In calculation of the transition form factors responsible for the $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition masses and decay constants of the negative parity baryons, which are determined from the analysis of the two-point sum rules (see Eq. (22)). These sum rules have two auxiliary parameters, namely, the Borel mass parameter M^2 and the continuum threshold s_0. The working window for the Borel mass parameter is determined from the condition that the nonperturbative and continuum contribution should be less than, say, half of the perturbative contributions. The value of the continuum threshold is determined from the condition that, the mass sum rules reproduces the experimental value of the $N^*(1520)$ mass with 10% accuracy.

The analysis of the mass sum rules shows that the criteria for the Borel mass parameter which has been noted above, is fulfilled in the region $1.5 \leq M^2 \leq 3.0\ GeV^2$. We also observe that if the continuum threshold is chosen in the region $s_0 = (4.5 \pm 0.5)\ GeV^2$, sum rules reproduce the mass of the $N^*(1520)$ baryon with 10% accuracy, as the result of which we get $m_- = (5.4 \pm 0.1)\ GeV$. In further analysis we shall use this value of m_-, and the above-mentioned regions of M^2 and s_0.

In Figs. 1, 2 and 3 we present the dependencies of the helicity amplitudes $A_{1/2}$, $A_{3/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$ on Q^2, respectively, at several fixed values of the Borel parameter M^2 and at $s_0 = 4.0\ GeV^2$. In the numerical analysis we take into account the errors in the input parameters and the graphs are presented at the minimum values of the input parameters. In order to keep the higher twist and higher states contributions under control, Q^2 is running in the region $1.0 \leq Q^2 \leq 5.0\ GeV^2$. From all these we figures we see that, the trend of behavior for all three figures are the same, i.e., all three amplitudes tend to zero with increasing Q^2. Moreover, $A_{3/2}$ is always positive, while $A_{1/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$ are alway negative for all values of Q^2. If the maximum values of the input parameters are taken into account, the values of the helicity amplitudes increase slightly in modulo. Among all these three amplitudes, $A_{1/2}$ is less sensitive to the errors in the input parameters.

When we compare our results with the predictions of the spectator quark model [6], we observe that our results on the value of $A_{1/2}$ are approximately the same as with the prediction of [6], and very close to the experimental result [7]. In the case of $S_{1/2}$ amplitude, our results are slightly smaller compared those given in [6]. This result demonstrates the necessity for more precise determination of the input parameters. In the case for the $A_{3/2}$ amplitude, our results are very close to the ones given in [6] within the limits of errors, as well as to the experimental data given in [7].

Our prediction on the form factors and helicity amplitudes can be refined further by taking the radiative corrections into account for the distribution amplitudes. The first calculation along this line has been carried out in [12].

In summary, we study the $\gamma^* N \to N^*(1520)$ transition within the LCSR method. We derive the sum rules for the magnetic dipole G_M, electric quadrupole G_E, and Coulomb quadrupole G_C form factors, and consequently for the helicity amplitudes $A_{1/2}$, $A_{3/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$. The Q^2 dependence of these helicity amplitudes are investigated. Finally, we compare our results with the predictions of the covariant spectator quark model, and with the existing experimental data.

Appendix A

In this appendix we present the expressions for the functions ρ_2, ρ_4 and ρ_6 which appear in the sum rules for $G_1(Q^2)$, $G_2(Q^2)$, and $\dfrac{G_2(Q^2)}{2} - G_3(Q^2)$.

For the form factor G_1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_6(x) &= -32(1-x)m_N^2 m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(x^2 m_N^2 + Q^2)\left(e_{q_1}\check{\check{B}}_6 + e_{q_2}\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_6\right) ,\\
\rho_4(x) &= 8e_{q_1}m_N^2\Big[2(1-x)m_N(\check{\check{B}}_8 + \check{C}_6 + \check{D}_6) - (1-2x)m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\check{\check{B}}_6\Big]\\
&+ 8e_{q_2}m_N^2\Big[2(1-x)m_N(\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_8 + \widetilde{C}_6 + \widetilde{D}_6) - (1-2x)m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_6\Big]\\
&+ 16e_{q_3}m_N^2\Big[2(1-x)m_N\widehat{\widehat{B}}_8 - xm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\widehat{\widehat{B}}_6\Big]\\
&- 8e_{q_1}\frac{1-x}{x}m_N\Big\{(x^2m_N^2+Q^2)\check{B}_2 + \Big[x^2m_N^2 - (1-2x)Q^2\Big]\check{B}_4\\
&+ 2x\Big[(xm_N^2+Q^2)(\check{C}_2+\check{D}_2) - xm_Nm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(\check{B}_5+\check{C}_5-\check{D}_5-\check{P}_2-\check{S}_2)\Big]\Big\}\\
&- 8e_{q_2}\frac{1-x}{x}m_N\Big\{(x^2m_N^2+Q^2)\widetilde{B}_2 + \Big[x^2m_N^2 - (1-2x)Q^2\Big]\widetilde{B}_4\\
&- 2x\Big[(xm_N^2+Q^2)(\widetilde{C}_2+\widetilde{D}_2) + xm_Nm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(\widetilde{B}_5+\widetilde{P}_2+\widetilde{S}_2)\Big]\Big\}\\
&+ 8e_{q_3}(1-x)m_N\Big[2(xm_N^2+Q^2)(\widehat{B}_2+\widehat{B}_4) + xm_Nm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(\widehat{C}_4-\widehat{C}_5-\widehat{D}_4+\widehat{D}_5)\Big]\\
&+ 16e_{q_1}m_N^2m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\int_0^{\bar{x}}dx_3\Big[A_1^M - V_1^M - (1+x)T_1^M\Big](x,1-x-x_3,x_3)\\
&- 16e_{q_2}m_N^2m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\int_0^{\bar{x}}dx_1\Big[A_1^M - V_1^M + (1+x)T_1^M\Big](x_1,x,1-x_1-x)\\
&+ 16e_{q_3}m_N^2m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\int_0^{\bar{x}}dx_1\Big[x(A_1^M - V_1^M) + 2T_1^M\Big](x_1,1-x_1-x,x)\\
\rho_2(x) &= -8e_{q_1}\frac{m_N}{x}\Big[\check{B}_2 - (1-2x)\check{B}_4 + 2x(\check{C}_2+\check{D}_2)\Big]\\
&- 8e_{q_2}\frac{m_N}{x}\Big[\widetilde{B}_2 - (1-2x)\widetilde{B}_4\Big]\\
&- 16e_{q_1}\int_0^{\bar{x}}dx_3\Big\{(1-x)m_N(A_3 - P_1 + S_1 + V_3)\\
&- m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[A_1 - (1+x)T_1 - V_1\Big]\Big\}(x,1-x-x_3,x_3)\\
&- 16e_{q_2}\int_0^{\bar{x}}dx_1\Big\{(1-x)m_N(P_1 - S_1)\\
&+ m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[A_1 + (1+x)T_1 - V_1\Big]\Big\}(x_1,x,1-x_1-x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 16e_{q_3}\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1 \Big\{(1-x)m_N(A_3+V_3)\\
&+ m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[x(A_1-V_1)+2T_1\Big]\Big\}(x_1,1-x_1-x,x)\ . \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the form factor G_2

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_6(x) &= -64e_{q_1}(1-x)m_N^2\Big\{\Big[x^2m_N^2-(1-2x)Q^2\Big]\check{\check{B}}_6+2x^2m_Nm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(2\check{\check{B}}_8+\check{\check{C}}_6+\check{\check{D}}_6)\Big\}\\
&- 64e_{q_2}(1-x)m_N^2\Big\{\Big[x^2m_N^2-(1-2x)Q^2\Big]\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_6+2x^2m_Nm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(2\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_8+\widetilde{\widetilde{C}}_6+\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_6)\Big\}\\
&- 128e_{q_3}x(1-x)m_N^2\Big\{(xm_N^2+Q^2)\widehat{\widehat{B}}_6+xm_Nm_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(2\widehat{\widehat{B}}_8+\widehat{\widehat{C}}_6+\widehat{\widehat{D}}_6)\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_4(x) &= 16e_{q_1}(3-4x)m_N^2\check{\check{B}}_6\\
&+ 16e_{q_2}(3-4x)m_N^2\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_6\\
&+ 16e_{q_1}xm_N\Big\{(1-x)m_N\Big[2\check{B}_5+\check{C}_4-\check{C}_5-\check{D}_4+\check{D}_5+2(\check{E}_1+\check{H}_1)\Big]\\
&+ 2m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[\check{B}_2-(1-2x)\check{B}_4+x(\check{C}_2+\check{D}_2)\Big]\Big\}\\
&+ 16e_{q_2}xm_N\Big\{(1-x)m_N\Big[2\widetilde{B}_5+\widetilde{C}_4+\widetilde{C}_5-\widetilde{D}_4-\widetilde{D}_5-2(\widetilde{E}_1+\widetilde{H}_1)\Big]\\
&+ 2m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[\widetilde{B}_2-(1-2x)\widetilde{B}_4-(1-x)(\widetilde{C}_2+\widetilde{D}_2)\Big]\Big\}\\
&+ 32e_{q_3}xm_N\Big\{(1-x)m_N(2\widehat{B}_5+\widehat{C}_5-\widehat{D}_5)-m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[2(1-x)\widehat{B}_4-x(\widehat{C}_2+\widehat{D}_2)\Big]\Big\}\\
&- 32e_{q_1}m_N^2\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_3(1-x)\Big[A_1^M-T_1^M-V_1^M\Big](x,1-x-x_3,x_3)\\
&+ 32e_{q_2}m_N^2\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1\Big[T_1^M+x(A_1^M-T_1^M-V_1^M)\Big](x_1,x,1-x_1-x)\\
&- 64e_{q_3}m_N^2\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1\,x\,T_1^M(x_1,1-x_1-x,x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(x) &= -32e_{q_1}\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_3(1-x)\Big[A_1-T_1-V_1\Big](x,1-x-x_3,x_3)\\
&+ 32e_{q_2}\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1\Big[T_1+x\,(A_1-T_1-V_1)\Big](x_1,x,1-x_1-x)\\
&- 64e_{q_3}\int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1\,x\,T_1(x_1,1-x_1-x,x)\ . \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the form factor $\dfrac{G_2}{2} - G_3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_6(x) =& -128 e_{q_1}(1-x)^2 m_N^2 \Big[(x m_N^2 + Q^2)\overset{\breve{}}{\breve{B}}_6 + x m_N m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(2\overset{\breve{}}{\breve{B}}_8 + \overset{\breve{}}{\breve{C}}_6 + \overset{\breve{}}{\breve{D}}_6)\Big] \\
&- 128 e_{q_2}(1-x)^2 m_N^2 \Big[(x m_N^2 + Q^2)\,\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_6 + x m_N m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(2\,\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_8 + \widetilde{\widetilde{C}}_6 + \widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_6)\Big] \\
&- 128 e_{q_3}(1-x)^2 m_N^2 \Big[(x m_N^2 + Q^2)\,\widehat{\widehat{B}}_6 + x m_N m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}(2\,\widehat{\widehat{B}}_8 + \widehat{\widehat{C}}_6 + \widehat{\widehat{D}}_6)\Big] \\
\\
\rho_4(x) =& -32 e_{q_1}(1-x) m_N^2 \overset{\breve{}}{\breve{B}}_6 - 32 e_{q_2}(1-x) m_N^2 \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_6 - 32 e_{q_3}(1-x) m_N^2 \widehat{\widehat{B}}_6 \\
&+ 16 e_{q_1}(1-x) m_N \Big\{(1-x) m_N (4\check{B}_5 + \check{C}_4 + \check{C}_5 - \check{D}_4 - \check{D}_5) \\
&+ 2 m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[\check{B}_2 - (1-2x)\check{B}_4 + x(\check{C}_2 + \check{D}_2)\Big]\Big\} \\
&+ 16 e_{q_2}(1-x) m_N \Big\{(1-x) m_N (4\widetilde{B}_5 + \widetilde{C}_4 + \widetilde{C}_5 - \widetilde{D}_4 - \widetilde{D}_5) \\
&+ 2 m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[\widetilde{B}_2 - (1-2x)\widetilde{B}_4 + x(\widetilde{C}_2 + \widetilde{D}_2)\Big]\Big\} \\
&+ 16 e_{q_3}(1-x) m_N \Big\{(1-x) m_N (4\widehat{B}_5 + \widehat{C}_4 + \widehat{C}_5 - \widehat{D}_4 - \widehat{D}_5) \\
&+ 2 m_{\frac{3}{2}^+}\Big[\widehat{B}_2 - (1-2x)\widehat{B}_4 + x(\widehat{C}_2 + \widehat{D}_2)\Big]\Big\} \\
&+ 32 e_{q_1} m_N^2 \int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_3 (1-x)\Big[A_1^M - 2T_1^M - V_1^M\Big](x, 1-x-x_3, x_3) \\
&+ 32 e_{q_2} m_N^2 \int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1 (1-x)\Big[A_1^M - 2T_1^M - V_1^M\Big](x_1, x, 1-x_1-x) \\
&+ 32 e_{q_3} m_N^2 \int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1\,(1-x)\Big[A_1^M - 2T_1^M - V_1^M\Big](x_1, 1-x_1-x, x) \\
\\
\rho_2(x) =& \; 32 e_{q_1} \int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_3 (1-x)\Big[A_1 - 2T_1 - V_1\Big](x, 1-x-x_3, x_3) \\
&+ 32 e_{q_2} \int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1 (1-x)\Big[A_1 - 2T_1 - V_1\Big](x_1, x, 1-x_1-x) \\
&+ 32 e_{q_3} \int_0^{\bar{x}} dx_1\,(1-x)\Big[A_1 - 2T_1 - V_1\Big](x_1, 1-x_1-x, x)\ . \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above expressions for ρ_i the functions $\mathcal{F}(x_i)$ are defined in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{\mathcal{F}}(x_1) &= \int_1^{x_1} dx_1^{'} \int_0^{1-x_1^{'}} dx_3\, \mathcal{F}(x_1^{'}, 1-x_1^{'}-x_3, x_3)\ , \\
\overset{\breve{}}{\breve{\mathcal{F}}}(x_1) &= \int_1^{x_1} dx_1^{'} \int_1^{x_1^{'}} dx_1^{''} \int_0^{1-x_1^{''}} dx_3\, \mathcal{F}(x_1^{''}, 1-x_1^{''}-x_3, x_3)\ , \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(x_2) &= \int_1^{x_2} dx_2^{'} \int_0^{1-x_2^{'}} dx_1\, \mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2^{'}, 1-x_1-x_2^{'})\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}(x_2) = \int_1^{x_2} dx_2^{'} \int_1^{x_2^{'}} dx_2^{''} \int_0^{1-x_2^{''}} dx_1 \, \mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2^{''}, 1-x_1-x_2^{''}) \ ,$$
$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x_3) = \int_1^{x_3} dx_3^{'} \int_0^{1-x_3^{'}} dx_1 \, \mathcal{F}(x_1, 1-x_1-x_3^{'}, x_3^{'}) \ ,$$
$$\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}}(x_3) = \int_1^{x_3} dx_3^{'} \int_1^{x_3^{'}} dx_3^{''} \int_0^{1-x_3^{''}} dx_1 \, \mathcal{F}(x_1, 1-x_1-x_3^{''}, x_3^{''}) \ .$$

Definitions of the functions B_i, C_i, D_i, E_1 and H_1 that appear in the expressions for $\rho_i(x)$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &= T_1 + T_2 - 2T_3 \ , \\
B_4 &= T_1 - T_2 - 2T_7 \ , \\
B_5 &= -T_1 + T_5 + 2T_8 \ , \\
B_6 &= 2T_1 - 2T_3 - 2T_4 + 2T_5 + 2T_7 + 2T_8 \ , \\
B_7 &= T_7 - T_8 \ , \\
B_8 &= -T_1 + T_2 + T_5 - T_6 + 2T_7 + 2T_8 \ , \\
C_2 &= V_1 - V_2 - V_3 \ , \\
C_4 &= -2V_1 + V_3 + V_4 + 2V_5 \ , \\
C_5 &= V_4 - V_3 \ , \\
C_6 &= -V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5 - V_6 \ , \\
D_2 &= -A_1 + A_2 - A_3 \ , \\
D_4 &= -2A_1 - A_3 - A_4 + 2A_5 \ , \\
D_5 &= A_3 - A_4 \ , \\
D_6 &= A_1 - A_2 + A_3 + A_4 - A_5 + A_6 \ , \\
E_1 &= S_1 - S_2 \ , \\
H_1 &= P_2 - P_1 \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the numerical analysis for the form factors the masses of the light quarks are all set to zero.

Appendix B

In this appendix we present the expressions for the functions Π_2 and Π_2 corresponding to the structures $\not{p} g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively, after the Borel transformation and continuum subtraction are performed.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1 &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} M^6 E_2(x) - \frac{5}{24\pi^4} M^2 \langle g_s^2 G^2 \rangle E_0(x) + \frac{28}{9M^2} m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 - 16 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \\
\Pi_2 &= + \frac{4}{\pi^2} M^4 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle E_1(x) - \frac{2}{\pi^2} m_0^2 M^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle E_0(x) + \frac{1}{9\pi^2 M^2} m_0^2 \langle g_s^2 G^2 \rangle \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \langle g_s^2 G^2 \rangle \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \ , \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_n(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{x^i}{i!} \ ,$$

with $x = s_0/M^2$, and s_0 is being the continuum threshold.

References

[1] I. G. Aznauryan, A. Bashir, V. Braun, S. J. Brodsky *et. al*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **22**, 1330015 (2013).

[2] V. M. Braun, arXiv:9801222 [hep-ph] (1998).

[3] E. Santopinto, and M. M. Giannini, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 065202 (2012).

[4] B. Golli, and S. Sirca, Eur. Phys. J. A **49**, 111 (2013).

[5] V. D. Burkert, T. S. H. Lee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **13**, 1035 (2004).

[6] G. Ramalho, and M. T. Pena, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 094016 (2014).

[7] I. G. Aznauryan *et. al*, CLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 055203 (2009).

[8] V. I. Mokeev *et. al*, CLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 035203 (2012).

[9] V. M. Braun, R. J. Fries, N. Mahnke, and E. Stern, Nucl. Phys. B **589**, 381 (2000); Nucl. Phys. B **607**, 433E (2001).

[10] Frank X. Lee, and Xinyu Liu Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014014 (2002).

[11] V. M. Braun, A. Lenz, M. Wittmann, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 094079 (2006).

[12] I. V. Anikin, V. M. Braun, anf N. Offen, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 114021 (2013).

Figure captions

Fig. (1) The dependence of the helicity amplitude $A_{1/2}$ on Q^2 at $s_0 = 4.0\ GeV^2$, and at several fixed values of the Borel mass parameter M^2.

Fig. (2) The same as in Fig. (1), but for the helicity amplitude $A_{3/2}$.

Fig. (3) The same as in Fig. (1), but for the helicity amplitude $S_{1/2}$.



Figure 1:



Figure 2:


0.0
-10.0
-20.0
-30.0
1.0
2.0
3.0
4.0
5.0
$S_{1/2}(10^{-3}\ GeV^{-1/2})$
Q^2 (GeV^2)
$s_0 = 4.0$ GeV^2
$M^2 = 1.5\ GeV^2$
$M^2 = 2.0\ GeV^2$
$M^2 = 3.0\ GeV^2$

Figure 3: